

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 B.I.P. CORPORATION,
12
13 vs. Plaintiff,
14
15 MITEC TELECOM, INC., and DOES
16 1 to 30, Defendants.
17

CASE NO. 08-CV-0313 H
(CAB)

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S REQUEST
FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN
SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF
REMOVAL**

[Doc. No. 2.]

18 On January 18, 2008, plaintiff B.I.P. Corporation filed an action in California
19 Superior Court against defendant Mitec Telecom, Inc. ("Mitec"). On February 19,
20 2008, Mitec filed a notice of removal, see Doc. No. 1, and asked the Court to take
21 judicial notice of (1) the filing of the case B.I.P. Corp. v. Mitec Telecom, Inc., Case No.
22 37-2008-0005050505-CU-BT-NC, filed in the Superior Court of the State of California,
23 San Diego County, North County Division; (2) a printout from Mitec's website,
24 www.mitectelecom.com, showing Mitec's principal North American office in Pointe
25 Claire, Quebec; and (3) a printout from the website of Hoovers, a business information
26 database, showing Mitec's corporate headquarters in Pointe Claire, Quebec. (Doc. No.
27 2.)

28 ///

1 The Court concludes that the location of Mitec's principal North American office
2 and corporate headquarters is "capable of accurate and ready determination by resort
3 to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b).
4 Accordingly, the Court grants Mitec's request for judicial notice, which Plaintiff has not
5 opposed.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: March 11, 2008

8 
9 MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28